

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016736 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Applicant's Organizational Information			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's organizational information, qualifications, and experience that demonstrates Applicant's ability to satisfy all objectives as outlined in the RFA.	40%		
Subtotal		40%		
2	Technical Approach			
2.1	Evaluate Applicant's proposed technical approach to providing the services outlined in the RFA.	40%		
Subtotal		40%		
3	Cost			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed budget and cost effectiveness.	20%		
Subtotal		20%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016736		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016736		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Applicant's Organizational Information	40%
2	Technical Approach	40%
3	Cost	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%